

The Limit of Approximation with Weights Large on Nodes

CHARLES B. DUNHAM

*Computer Science Department, The University of Western Ontario,
London, Ontario N6A 5B7, Canada*

Communicated by T. J. Rivlin

Received March 12, 1982

Let X be a compact topological space and $C(X)$ the space of real (complex) continuous functions on X . For $g \in C(X)$ define

$$\|g\| = \sup\{|g(x)|: x \in X\}. \quad (1)$$

Let F be an approximating function with parameter space P (a non-empty closed subset of (real or complex) n -space) such that $F(A, \cdot) \in C(X)$ for $A \in P$. Let Z be a finite subset of X . The problem of approximation with interpolation on Z is: given $f \in C(X)$, find a parameter A^* minimizing $e(A) = \|f - F(A, \cdot)\|$ subject to the constraint

$$F(A, z) = f(z), \quad z \in Z. \quad (*)$$

Such a parameter A^* is called best and $F(A^*, \cdot)$ is called a best approximation to f with interpolation on Z [1, 50ff.].

We will only consider approximation by approximating families (F, P) satisfying Young's condition [2; 6, p. 27]. These include finite-dimensional linear families, real families unisolvent on an interval $[\alpha, \beta]$, and tame rationals [3, 4]. Let $\|\cdot\|_c$ be the maximum norm on n -space.

DEFINITION. (F, P) satisfies *Young's condition* if

- (i) $\|A^k\|_c \rightarrow \infty$ implies $\|F(A^k, \cdot)\| \rightarrow \infty$,
- (ii) $A \in P, A^k \in P, \{A^k\} \rightarrow A$ implies $F(A^k, \cdot)$ converges uniformly to $F(A, \cdot)$ on X .

By doing weighted uniform approximation with weights large on Z , we can produce approximations $F(A, \cdot)$ with $f(z) - F(A, z)$ small. This suggests that a limit of weighted approximation with weights $\rightarrow \infty$ on Z would be best to f with respect to $(*)$.

Best approximations with respect to weight w , which is ≥ 1 on Z and 1 off Z , exist by standard arguments.

THEOREM. *Let (F, P) satisfy Young's condition.*

Let $F(B, \cdot)$ interpolate f on Z . Let $\{w_k\}$ be a sequence of positive weight functions on X such that $w_k(x) = 1$ for $x \notin Z$ and $w_k(z) \rightarrow \infty$ for $z \in Z$. Let A^k be best with respect to w_k . Then $\{A^k\}$ has an accumulation point and if A^0 is an accumulation point, A^0 is best and there is a sequence $\{k(j)\}$ such that $\{F(A^{k(j)}, \cdot)\} \rightarrow F(A^0, \cdot)$ uniformly on X .

Proof. Suppose $\{A^k\}$ is unbounded. By arguments of [2], $\|f - F(A^k, \cdot)\|$ is unbounded, hence $\|w_k(f - F(A^k, \cdot))\|$ is unbounded. But

$$\|w_k(f - F(B, \cdot))\| = \|f - F(B, \cdot)\| \quad (2)$$

and this would contradict A^k being best with respect to w_k .

As $\{A^k\}$ is bounded, it has an accumulation point A^0 . By taking a subsequence if necessary, we can assume $\{A^k\} \rightarrow A^0$. We claim A^0 satisfies (*). Suppose not then there is $\varepsilon > 0$ and $z \in Z$ such that $|f(z) - F(A^0, z)| > \varepsilon$. Hence

$$w_k[f(z) - F(A^k, z)] \rightarrow \infty$$

and this (with (2)) contradicts optimality of A^k . Next suppose A^0 is not best with respect to (*). Then there is C satisfying (*) and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\|f - F(C, \cdot)\| < \|f - F(A^0, \cdot)\| - \varepsilon. \quad (3)$$

Now we claim

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|w_k(f - F(A^k, \cdot))\| \geq \|f - F(A^0, \cdot)\|. \quad (4)$$

This is proven by letting x be a point at which $\|f - F(A^0, \cdot)\|$ is attained, then

$$w_k(x)(f(x) - F(A^k, x)) \rightarrow f(x) - F(A^0, x).$$

Now (3, 4) contradict optimality of A^k . A^0 is best and uniform convergence follows by definition.

L. Keener [5] has announced results for a special case of our problem.

If X is finite, we can use programs for weighted discrete uniform approximation to get best interpolating approximations.

The conclusion of the theorem may not hold if Young's condition fails.

EXAMPLE. Let $X = \{0, 1/2, 1\}$, $Z = \{0\}$, and $f(x) = x^2$. Let $F(A, x) =$

$a_1 + a_2x$, $P = \{(a_1, a_2): a_1 \neq 0\} \cup \{(0, 0)\}$. Let $w_k(x) = k$ if $x = 0$ and $w_k(x) = 1$ for $x \neq 0$. There is a best approximation p_k with respect to weight w_k by first-degree polynomials and $w_k(f - p_k)$ must alternate twice on X , hence $p_k \in (F, P)$. By drawing a diagram it is seen that p_k is not near the zero function. But the only interpolating approximation by (F, P) is zero.

REFERENCES

1. B. L. CHALMERS AND G. D. TAYLOR, Uniform approximation with constraints, *Jahresber. Deutsch. Math.-Verein* **81** (1979), 49–86.
2. C. B. DUNHAM, The limit of non-linear Chebyshev approximation on subsets, *Aequationes Math.* **9** (1973), 60–63.
3. C. B. DUNHAM, Chebyshev approximation by rationals with constrained denominators, *J. Approx. Theory* **37** (1983), 5–11.
4. E. H. KAUFMAN, JR. AND G. D. TAYLOR, Uniform approximation by rational functions having restricted denominators, *J. Approx. Theory* **32** (1981), 9–26.
5. L. KEENER, The best interpolating approximation is a limit of best weighted approximations, *Canad. Math. Bull.* **25** (1982), 502–503.
6. J. RICE, "The Approximation of Functions," Vol. 1, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1964.